## The fast fragmentation-coalescence process

Steven Pagett

University of Bath

May 16, 2016

<sup>1</sup>Joint work with Tim Rogers, Andreas Kyprianou and Jason Schweinsberg

# Kingman's Coalescent

The Kingman n-coalescent is a coalescent process that was developed to describe the relations between a selection of haploids in a population.

The Kingman n-coalescent is a coalescent process that was developed to describe the relations between a selection of haploids in a population.

This is a Markov chain on the partitions of  $\{1,\ldots,n\}$  often denoted by

$$\Pi^{(n)}(t) = \{\Pi_1^{(n)}(t), \dots, \Pi_n^{(n)}(t)\},\$$

where  $\Pi_i^{(n)}(t)$  is the subset of elements that make up the  $i^{th}$  block of the partition. These are ordered by smallest element and some of them are allowed to be empty.

Start with everyone in their own block i.e.

$$\Pi^{(n)}(0) = \{\{1\}, \dots, \{n\}\},\$$

then every pair of blocks merges at rate c > 0.

Start with everyone in their own block i.e.

$$\Pi^{(n)}(0) = \{\{1\}, \dots, \{n\}\},\$$

then every pair of blocks merges at rate c > 0.

Hence if  $N_t$  is the number of non-empty blocks of  $\Pi^{(n)}(t)$ , then  $N_0 = n$ , and  $N_t$  is a pure death process with death rate  $c\binom{N_t}{2}$ .

## Example

```
\{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{5\}\}
 \{\{1,2\},\{3\},\{4\},\{5\}\}
   \{\{1,2\},\{3\},\{4,5\}\}
    \{\{1, 2, 4, 5\}, \{3\}\}
      \{\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}\}
```

## Extension to $\ensuremath{\mathbb{N}}$

This can be extended naturally to a process,  $\Pi$ , on partitions of  $\mathbb{N}$ , such that when you restrict  $\Pi$  to  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  you get an n-coalescent.

## Extension to $\mathbb{N}$

This can be extended naturally to a process,  $\Pi$ , on partitions of  $\mathbb{N}$ , such that when you restrict  $\Pi$  to  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  you get an n-coalescent.

An interesting result for this extension is that although  $N_0=\infty,$  we have that

$$\mathbb{P}(\inf\{t > 0 : N_t < \infty\} = 0) = 1$$

This is known as "coming down from infinity".

These processes can be generalised to allow fragmentation to also occur. This works by picking a block of the partition and splitting it up into smaller blocks.

These processes can be generalised to allow fragmentation to also occur. This works by picking a block of the partition and splitting it up into smaller blocks.

The main questions that are asked about these processes are

- 1. How many blocks are there?
- 2. How big are these blocks?

## Example

$$\{\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}\} \\ \downarrow \\ \{\{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 6, 7\}, \{4\}\} \\ \downarrow \\ \{\{1, 3\}, \{2, 6, 7\}, \{4\}, \{5\}\} \\ \downarrow \\ \{\{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 6, 7\}, \{5\}\} \\ \downarrow \\ \{\{1, 3, 4\}, \{2\}, \{5\}, \{6\}, \{7\}\} \\ \downarrow \\ \{\{1, 3, 4, 7\}, \{2\}, \{5\}, \{6\}\}$$

# Kingman + Fragmentation

(Berestycki 2004) If you have Kingman's coalescent and let the fragmentation satisfy

- Rate of fragmenting each block is finite
- It fragments a block into finitely many smaller blocks

and if

 $p_k = \mathbb{P}(\text{Fragment a block into } k + 1 \text{ smaller blocks}),$ 

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k \log p_k < \infty$$

then you still come down from infinity, i.e. you have finitely many blocks immediately after time 0.

#### Question

Is there any finite rate fragmentation mechanism which will stop Kingman's coalescent from coming down from infinity?

Coalescent part: Kingman at rate  $\boldsymbol{c}$ 

Coalescent part: Kingman at rate cFragmentation part: Each block fragments at rate  $\lambda$ ; when it fragments it completely fragments into singletons.

Coalescent part: Kingman at rate cFragmentation part: Each block fragments at rate  $\lambda$ ; when it fragments it completely fragments into singletons.

We know how  $N_t$  behaves in finite states

$$Q_{i,j}^{N} = \begin{cases} c\binom{i}{2} & \text{if } j = i - 1, \ i < \infty \\ \lambda i & \text{if } i < \infty, \ j = \infty \end{cases}$$

Coalescent part: Kingman at rate cFragmentation part: Each block fragments at rate  $\lambda$ ; when it fragments it completely fragments into singletons.

We know how  $N_t$  behaves in finite states

$$Q_{i,j}^{N} = \begin{cases} c\binom{i}{2} & \text{if } j = i - 1, \ i < \infty \\ \lambda i & \text{if } i < \infty, \ j = \infty \end{cases}$$

How does the process behave when  $N_t = \infty$ ? Does it come down from infinity?

#### Theorem

It turns out that there is a phase transition in  $\theta:=2\lambda/c.$ 

#### Theorem

- (i) If  $\theta < 1$ , then  $N := (N_t : t \ge 0)$  is a recurrent Feller process on  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  such that  $\infty$  is instantaneously regular and not sticky.
- (ii) If  $\theta \ge 1$ , then  $\infty$  is an absorbing state for N.

# Local Time

If  $\theta < 1$ , then this theorem tells us that there exists a local time for N at  $\infty$ ,  $L_t$ . We can also develop an excursion theory for N, and so the periods when  $N_t < \infty$  can be thought of as excursions from  $\infty$ .

# Local Time

If  $\theta < 1$ , then this theorem tells us that there exists a local time for N at  $\infty$ ,  $L_t$ . We can also develop an excursion theory for N, and so the periods when  $N_t < \infty$  can be thought of as excursions from  $\infty$ .

This allows us to prove a few more results about N.

Theorem If  $\theta < 1$ , then

1. N has a stationary distribution given by

$$\rho_N(k) = \frac{1-\theta}{\Gamma(\theta)} \frac{\Gamma(k-1+\theta)}{\Gamma(k+1)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

2.  $\lim_{t\to 0} tN_t = 2/c$ .

1a. Subcritical Case

Excursion Theory & Local Time

We have an excursion measure  ${\mathbb Q}$  when  $\theta < 1$ ,

• The inverse local time  $L_t^{-1}$ , defined as

$$L_t^{-1} = \inf\{s > 0 : L_s > t\}$$

This can be thought of as a measure of excursion length

 $\blacktriangleright$  What is the distribution of the inverse local time,  $L_t^{-1}$ , which reduces to calculating  $\Phi$  where

$$\mathbb{Q}\left[1 - \exp(-q\zeta)\right] = \exp(-t\Phi(q))$$

where  $\zeta$  is the length of a typical excursion.

1b. Subcritical Case

Stationary Distribution

• We have the stationary distribution for N.

$$\rho_N(k) = \frac{1-\theta}{\Gamma(\theta)} \frac{\Gamma(k-1+\theta)}{\Gamma(k+1)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

► Can we extend this to the stationary distribution of II? This is equivalent to finding the stationary distribution of the asymptotic frequencies of the blocks, given there are k blocks.

- 2. Critical vs. Supercritical Case
  - Currently all we know about the cases θ = 1 and θ > 1 is that N<sub>t</sub> = ∞ for all t almost surely. Do any differences exist?
  - In these two cases is  $\rho_N(\infty) > 0$ ?

3. The First Block

Can we find the distribution of the asymptotic frequency of the first block?

The asymptotic frequency is a measure of the 'size' of a block

$$|\Pi_1(t)| := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{j \in \Pi_1(t)\}}$$

We have the first two moments of this asymptotic frequency, but further moments are very hard to calculate at the moment.

#### 4. EFC Processes

How do these results fit in the wider context of exchangeable fragmentation-coalescence processes?

- There is an entire class of coalescent processes that come down from infinity. Is there some 'measure' of how strong fragmentation needs to be to prevent this from occurring?
- Can this phase transition be found elsewhere?